国家社会科学基金资助期刊 中国人文社会科学综合评价(AMI)权威期刊 中文社会科学引文索引(CSSCI)来源期刊 全国中文核心期刊 RCCSE中国权威学术期刊



近似史研究



总第 245 期 2021 近心史研究

中国社会科学院近代史研究所 主办

近代建研究

Modern Chinese History Studies

中国共产党百年历史研究

瞿秋白与"领导权"的定名

——Hegemony 概念的中国革命旅程(1923—1927) ······	李放春(4)
中国共产党的战略选择与八路军三五九旅南征问题研究	黄正林(19)
山东抗日根据地的救国公粮征收研究		
——兼与华北其他根据地比较	周祖文(34)
专题研究		
大农与小农:清末民国时期中国农业经营规模的论争	李金铮(51)
水利、政治与区域社会		
——以民国鸳鸯池水库建设为中心	张景平(67)
治水政治:20 世纪 30 年代的"导黄人准"纠纷	李发根(81)

本刊不以任何形式收取版面费 全国社科工作办举报电话:010-55604027

1979 年创刊 总第 245 期 9 月出版

"公约主义者"的悲剧:马慕瑞与华盛顿体系的兴衰 马建标	刘 畅(96)
衍圣公府与民初《崇圣典例》修订始末	李先明(116)
马克思主义与中国近代史研究	
中国马克思主义史学的形成与社会史论战	张 越(130)
读史札记	
蔡锷因何而过早逝世在日本?	曾业英(143)
公私之间:朱家骅与鹤和小学	王龙飞(151)
英文目录与提要	(160)
书讯 《辨色视朝:晚清的朝会、文书与政治决策》(66) ◇ 《旅顺大屠杀资料	与研究》(150)

Modern Chinese History Studies

No. 5, 2021

After the Japanese launched the Operation Ichi-go in April 1944, the CPC Central Committee adopted the South Strategy, aiming to establish an Anti-Japanese Base in the border area between Hunan and Guangdong. If successful, it would link the CPC's base areas in northern, central and southern China together. To set this strategy in motion, the CPC Central Committee ordered the No. 359 Brigade of the No. 120 Division to go on an expedition to the south. The task of the No. 359 Brigade was two-pronged: first, it was expected to escort CPC cadres to the No. 5 Division of the New Fourth Army at the border area between Hubei and Henan; and second, it was tasked to establish a base area along the borders between Hunan and Guangdong. When the No. 359 Brigade finally arrived at the border area between Hunan and Guangdong, however, the Japanese had announced its surrender. So the task to establish an anti-Japanese base area there became out of the question. To pressurize the KMT to carry on with the peace negotiation in Chongqing, the CPC Central Committee decided to voluntarily withdraw its troops from Guangdong, Henan and all the other provinces in between. This was a testament to the flexible strategic decisions of the CPC Central Committee around the time of the victory of the Resistance War, who timely adjusted its strategy according to changes of domestic and international situations. When the South Strategy became unfeasible, it shifted its strategic focus to China's Northeast, a move that turned out to be a success.

Under the circumstances of collision and communication between China and the West in the modern era, the Chinese society in general and the Chinese academia in particular consistently engaged in the debate on the optimal scale of Chinese agriculture during the late Qing and the Republic of China. The debate witnessed a transition from the dichotomy of big farmer vs small farmer to the juxtaposition of large-scale farming and subsistence farming. Debate on the pros and cons of large-scale farming and subsistence farming was especially heated. Specifically, people at the time were increasingly concerned about the prospect of subsistence farming. In contrast, most people viewed large-scale farming as the only way forward. To realize large-scale farming, some people initially put their hope in commercial farms while others soon believed that cooperatives would carry the day. Some advocated large-scale farming, but they viewed the American-style ranch and the Soviet Collectivized Farm model with reservation. As a matter of fact, large-scale farming was a nonstarter in China at the time and the very dominance of subsistence farming largely persisted. Due to this reality, some people came to believe that subsistence farming still had its merits and then oppose the introduction of large-scale farming. This debate mirrors the complexities surrounding the Chinese perceptions on the optimal scale of agricultural production at the time.

Built in 1947 in Jinta County of Gansu Province, Yuanyangchi Reservoir was the first modern earth-dammed reservoir in China. Yuanyangchi Reservoir was originally built to cope with the local crisis triggered by irrigation-related disputes. However, the political process that endorsed this project was full of setbacks. Proponents of this project finally won the day by taking advantage of the government's Northwest Policy and Chinese authorities' preoccupation with "maintaining solidarity with the rear base" during the Resistance War against Japanese Aggression. During the building of the reservoir, local gentries used their local influences to actively engaged in social mobilization efforts, mirroring the transition of organizing Chinese irrigation projects from a traditional mode to a modern one. During the implementation of this project, technicians

went extra miles to put in place consultative mechanisms for stakeholders from the river basin and modernize the management of construction sites. Such efforts left behind some profound legacies beyond the benefits of irrigation and played a delicate role in the modernization of the local society.

After the outbreak of World War I, President Woodrow Wilson advocated the principle of "open diplomacy", which later merged with the tradition of international conventions and resulted in a new "Conventionalism" that aimed to replace the "old international order". The Harding Government continued to pursue this policy after its succession to the Wilson Administration. MacMurray, a disciple of President Wilson, became an important practitioner of "Conventionalism". In 1921, MacMurray served as the Chief of the Division of Far Eastern Affairs of the State Department. He was one of the architects of the "Washington Plan", which was indeed an implementation of "Conventionalism" in Asia. The resultant Washington System upheld the principles of "open diplomacy" and "concert of powers" in an attempt to restrain Japan's aggressive expansion in East Asia. However, Chinese anti-imperialist movement, with its determination to abolish unequal treaties, strongly shook the Washington System and forced the U.S. government to abandon the principle of "concert of powers". As a disciple of "Conventionalism", MacMurray, who had become Minister to China at that time, came into sharp conflict with the Department of State. According to MacMurray, it was the Department of State who spearheaded the betrayal of "Conventionalism" and indirectly contributed to the rise of Japanese militarism, which ultimately led to the loss of peace in East Asia. The failure of MacMurray was nothing but a "tragedy" for U.S. modern foreign policy. And the root cause of his failure was that the United States had always tried to evaluate and transform East Asia according to its own criteria. The rise and fall of the Washington System was essentially a process in which the U. S. government forcibly exported its domestic laws and values to East Asia, revealing the "legal imperialism" tendency of the United States.

Guo Moruo and others first attempted to apply historical materialism to the holistic research of Chinese history. Historiography of Historical Materialism in China first took shape when Dushu magazine initiated the debate on Chinese social history. Study on Ancient Chinese Society authored by Guo Moruo and the debate on Chinese social history initiated by Dushu magazine followed two different approaches: the former centered on the "why" question and the latter attempted to study social history through exploring the nature of the Chinese society. Guo based his arguments on the unearthed archaeological findings and tried to ascertain the appropriate boundaries of materialism. In contrast, participants in the Chinese social history debate explored the logic behind history based on their analysis on the nature of the Chinese society. Both represented the conscientious efforts to examine the the development of Chinese history and the nature of the Chinese society at different historical stages through the lens of historical materialism. The debate on Chinese social history stemmed from concerns for concrete issues on the ground, but it was manifested in the approach of "embedding historical materials into theories". Such an approach was incongruent with the academicism tradition in history study which concentrated on historical materials and advocated "seeking truth" in historiography. It was no wonder that it was not recognized by mainstream historians for quite a while.

English abstracts translated by Jia Yajuan

近代史研究

IINDAISHI YANIIU

主管 中国社会科学院 主办 中国社会科学院近代史研究所 主编 葛夫平

编 辑 《近代史研究》编辑部

出 版 近代史研究杂志社

出版日期 单月27日

地 址 北京市朝阳区国家体育场北路1号(100101)

采编平台 jdsyj.ajcass.org

电子信箱 jdsyj-jd@cass.org.cn

电 话 010-87420921

国内发行 社会科学文献出版社

北京报刊发行局

邮发代号 82-472

国外发行 中国国际图书贸易集团有限公司

国外代号 BM-298

订 购 处 全国各地邮局

印 刷 三河市龙林印务有限公司

定 价 50.00元

ISSN 1001-6708

CN 11-1215/K

如有印装质量问题,请与社会科学文献出版社联系调换电话: 010-59366562







社科期刊网

